

# City of St. Johns Joint Meeting (St. Johns Public Schools and City of St. Johns) Monday, January 13, 2025, 6:00 PM Clinton County Courthouse, 100 E. State Street, Suite #2200, 2nd Floor, Saint Johns, MI 48879



#### **AGENDA**

Join Zoom Meeting
\*Listen to Meeting Via Telephonic Conference
Dial 1 929 205 6099

#### https://zoom.us/j/2050014286

Meeting ID: 205 001 4286

\*Please note, you will not be able to make public comments through Zoom; only in-person attendees will be able to participate in public comments.

#### I. GENERAL FUNCTIONS

- A. Call To Order (Board of Education, then City of St. Johns)
- B. Pledge of Allegiance
- C. Roll Call (Board of Education, then City of St. Johns)
- D. Agenda Review and Approval (Board of Education, then City of St. Johns)

#### II. APPROVAL OF BOARD MINUTES (Board of Education, then City of St. Johns)

- A. Regular Meeting Minutes from January 6, 2025 (Board of Education)
- B. Special Joint Meeting Minutes City Commission & Bingham Township from January 7, 2025 (City of St. Johns)

#### III. PUBLIC COMMENTS

The Board and Commission recognizes the value of public comment on educational issues and the importance of allowing members of the public to express their views.

A comment card must be completed and submitted prior to the start of the meeting if you would like to address the Board of Education during Public Comment.

Participants may speak only once on a topic.

Board policy requires participants to limit statements to three (3) minutes duration.

Participants shall direct all comments to the Board and not to staff or other participants; no person may address or question Board members individually.

Remarks shall be made in a respectful and professional manner.

#### IV. JOINT BOARD AND COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA ITEMS

A. City Update (Fantasy Forest)

Presenter: Chad A. Gamble, P.E., City Manager

B. District Update

a. Facilities/District Steering Committee/Potential Bond Proposal

Presenter: Dr. Anthony Berthiaume, Superintendent

C. Work-Based Learning

Presenter: Dr. Anthony Berthiaume, Superintendent & Chad A. Gamble, P.E., City Manager

D. Safe Routes to School Grant & Joint Resolution

Presenter: Dr. Anthony Berthiaume, Superintendent & Chad A. Gamble, P.E., City Manager

E. Potential Land Lab

Presenter: Dr. Anthony Berthiaume, Superintendent & Chad A. Gamble, P.E., City Manager

F. Proposed Joint Safe Routes to School Design & Layout RFP Discussion **Presenter:** Justin Smith, Director of Public Services

G. General Discussion on Ideas and Opportunities for Great Collaboration Between the Board and Commission (Programming, Marketing, Publication, Internships)

Presenter: Dr. Anthony Berthiaume, Superintendent & Chad A. Gamble, P.E., City Manager

#### V. ACTION ITEMS

- A. Proposed Joint Safe Routes to School Design & Layout RFP Approval
- VI. BOARD COMMUNICATIONS (Board of Education, then City of St. Johns)
- VII. MEETING ADJOURNMENT (Board of Education, then City of St. Johns)

#### THE MISSION / VISION OF ST. JOHNS PUBLIC SCHOOLS

St. Johns Public Schools will provide an education experience that prepares all students to become individuals who think critically, live purposefully, lead responsibly, communicate persuasively, and serve others generously.

"It is the policy of the St. Johns School District that no person shall, on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, or disability be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity and in employment."

#### **CITY OF ST. JOHNS**

#### **CITY COMMISSION MINUTES**

#### SPECIAL MEETING

#### **JANUARY 7, 2025**

The special meeting of the St. Johns City Commission and Bingham Township Board was called to order by Mayor Dzurka at 5:30 p.m. at the Clinton County Courthouse, 100 East State Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Room #2200, St. Johns, Michigan.

CITY COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: Eric Hufnagel, Brad Gurski, Scott

Dzurka, Chris DeLiso

CITY COMMISSIONERS ABSENT: Chris Hyzer

STAFF PRESENT: Chad Gamble, City Manager; Mindy J.

Seavey, City Clerk; Justin Smith, Director of Public Services; Michael Homier,

Attorney

BINGHAM TOWNSHIP: Eric Silm, Jessica Smith, Amy Wirth, Eric

Harger, Tony Hufnagel

GUESTS: Brian House, Spicer; Andy Campbell,

Bendzinski

#### Agenda

Bingham Township Board approved their agenda.

Mayor Dzurka asked if there were any additions or deletions to the agenda.

Motion by Commissioner Gurski seconded by Commissioner Hufnagel that the city commission approve the agenda as presented.

YEA: Hufnagel, Gurski, Dzurka, DeLiso

NAY: None Motion carried.

#### Minutes

Motion by Commissioner Hufnagel seconded by Commissioner DeLiso that the city commission approve the December 3, 2024 Joint Meeting Minutes with Bingham Township; December 9, 2024 Special Meeting Minutes; and December 9, 2024 Regular Meeting Minutes.

YEA: Hufnagel, Gurski, Dzurka, DeLiso

JANUARY 7, 2025 PAGE 2

NAY: None Motion carried.

Review of Discussion and Progress from December 3, 2024

City Manager Gamble discussed the progress since the December 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting.

#### • Infrastructure Agreement Discussion

Discussion on the draft agreement by Foster Swift; asset management and infrastructure. Two consultants, Andy Campbell and Brian House, were introduced to provide insights on the agreement and infrastructure planning.

Discussion of Spicer Group's Water/Sewer Feasibility Study

Brian House, Spicer Group, was present.

#### • Water and Sewer Utilities Feasibility Study

Mr. House presented a high-level overview of the feasibility study for water and sewer utilities, discussing potential benefits, market analysis, and the creation of an authority.

- The feasibility study is a planning cost analysis to help create an authority and figure out the pros and cons of connecting water and sewer systems.
  - The study involves market analysis and asset management.
  - Different models of authority management were discussed.
- There are no major hurdles in creating an authority, but testing is needed for water system integration.
  - Mixing waters requires testing, which is not included in the current proposal.
- The study will help determine the best spots for system connections and the market areas for development.
- The authority can operate multiple systems without needing to combine them immediately.
  - Systems can remain separate and be interconnected in emergencies.

## • Authority and Ownership Models

Various models for authority and ownership of water and sewer systems were discussed, including the potential for separate systems to coexist under one operational authority.

JANUARY 7, 2025 PAGE 3

#### Funding Mechanism and Process

There was a discussion of the potential funding mechanism and the process for moving towards an authority; the importance of completing engineering tasks before finalizing articles of incorporation.

Presentation of Proposal from Bendzinski & Co. and Related Discussion/Action Items

Andy Campbell, Bendzinski, was present.

### • Introduction of Andy Campbell

Mr. Campbell highlighted their expertise in municipal finance advising and their role in overseeing financial implications of agreements.

#### • Municipal Advising and Authority Discussion

He explained the role of municipal advisors, and the considerations for forming an authority versus an intermunicipal agreement. He discussed the benefits and challenges of each approach.

- Conduct confidential discussions with each entity to determine goals and explore options.
- Prepared a proposal with a minimum fee of \$15,000, including five in-person meetings and 40 hours of additional time.
- Municipal finance advisors can help in overseeing financial implications and exploring different options like authorities or intermunicipal agreements.
  - Examples include the Kalamazoo Lake Authority, City of Jackson, and City of Coloma.
- o Authorities are rare between just two entities; intermunicipal contracts are often easier.

#### • Trust and Authority Establishment

The discussion emphasized the importance of trust between communities and advisors in establishing authority and contracts. Trust is crucial to ensure confidentiality and effective collaboration.

#### • Spicer's Role and Market Analysis

Spicer is tasked with conducting a market analysis and potential development analysis. This will inform negotiations and decisions regarding community development and infrastructure expansion.

### • Negotiations and Development Processes

JANUARY 7, 2025 PAGE 4

Negotiations involve determining development capacities, processes, and approvals between entities. The focus is on balancing development needs with infrastructure capabilities.

#### • Timeline and Process Duration

The timeline for discussions and agreements can range from six months to several years, depending on the complexity and willingness of communities to compromise.

- City of Jackson took over two years.
- Kalamazoo Lake took a year.

### • Authority vs. Intergovernmental Agreements

The pros and cons of establishing an authority versus intergovernmental agreements were discussed, focusing on liability, management, and community responsibilities.

#### • Liability and Community Responsibility

The transfer of liabilities and responsibilities in the context of forming an authority was debated, highlighting potential confusion and management challenges.

- Forming an authority can transfer liabilities but may not eliminate them.
- Authorities can add confusion if management responsibilities are unclear.

# • Concurrent Work and Development Pressures

Discussion on whether parts of the project can be done concurrently to address immediate development pressures while planning for long-term goals.

# • Short-term vs Long-term Goals

Exploration of how to align short-term goals with long-term objectives, particularly in the context of water and sewer system development.

# • Inter-municipal Agreements

Discussion on the flexibility and benefits of inter-municipal agreements as a temporary solution before establishing a long-term agreement or authority.

# • Legal and Financial Considerations

Consideration of legal and financial aspects in forming agreements and the potential impact on city and township finances.

# • Concerns about Studies and Spending

Concerns were raised about the value of spending on studies and whether they provide new insights or direct the project.

City Manager Gamble discussed contributions from the township to the city.

- There should be additional contributions from the township to the city for regional benefits.
  - o Non-tiered system for Parks and Rec and festivals.

JANUARY 7, 2025 PAGE 5

• Concerns about vague agreements and the need for clarity.

### Review of Roadmap Objectives and Timeline

#### • Legal and Strategic Planning

The discussion focused on the need for a strategic approach to legal matters, emphasizing the importance of understanding goals and assessing numbers before deciding on forming an authority.

#### • Service Agreement vs. Long-term Authority

Participants debated the merits of a service agreement versus establishing a long-term authority, considering efficiency, future growth, and sustainability.

### • Collaboration and Planning

The group discussed the importance of collaboration and planning to ensure sustainable service delivery to an expanding population, considering phased approaches and cost-effective strategies.

# • Past Attempts and Future Opportunities

Reflecting on past unsuccessful attempts to bring bodies together, the group emphasized the current opportunity to collaborate effectively for the community's benefit.

### • Budget and Financial Planning

Discussion on budgeting for fees (Bendzinski's & Spicer's), including payment schedules.

## • Utility System Agreement

Debate on forming a unified system, exploring short-term agreements, and the potential benefits and drawbacks of an authority versus an urban cooperative agreement.

# • Ownership and Infrastructure

Concerns about ownership of infrastructure and the role of bureaucracy in managing utilities.

### • Authority and Legal Structure

Discussion on the possibility of forming an authority, its benefits, and the need for due diligence and clear benefits for the city.

# • Interim Agreement for Development

Need for an interim agreement to facilitate development and the importance of having meaningful discussions for future planning.

# • Funding and Short-term Agreement

JANUARY 7, 2025 PAGE 6

The discussion focused on the urgency of deciding on funding and the feasibility of a short-term agreement to utilize funds effectively. Concerns were raised about the implications of not spending the funds within the stipulated time frame.

#### • Urban Cooperation Agreement

The group discussed the Urban Cooperation Agreement as a potential framework for moving forward. There was a general agreement on its importance, but some concerns about its implementation were noted.

#### • Infrastructure and Development

The need for development-ready water infrastructure was discussed, with suggestions for the township to become a bulk water customer as a short-term solution. The importance of expediting permits through EGLE was also highlighted.

- An interim agreement is necessary to proceed with the M-21 corridor development, benefiting all parties involved.
  - o Need to establish an agreement to secure funds for regional benefits.
  - o Interim agreement is necessary to move forward with development.

#### Setting Objectives for Next Meeting

There was a discussion of the February 10<sup>th</sup> meeting and possibly having a conceptual draft of a short-term agreement from Attorney Homier. The group discussed keeping this meeting scheduled unless the draft agreement was not ready for review yet.

#### **Public Comments**

Mayor Dzurka asked if there were any public comments.

There were none.

#### **Adjournment**

Motion by Commissioner Gurski seconded by Commissioner DeLiso that the city commission adjourn their meeting.

YEA: Hufnagel, Gurski, Dzurka, DeLiso

NAY: None Motion carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:46 p.m.

#### CITY OF ST. JOHNS RESOLUTION #1-2025

#### 

# A JOINT RESOLUTION SUPPORTING SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL ("SRTS") PROJECTS

WHEREAS, obesity is one of the most serious threats to American public health, ranking third among preventable causes of death in the United States;

WHEREAS, motor vehicle crashes are also a leading cause of death and injury to children;

WHEREAS, between 1969 and 2009 the percentage of children walking and biking to school dramatically declined from 48 percent to 13 percent;

WHEREAS, the Safe Routes to School program, created by Congress in 2005, aimed to increase the number of children engaged in active transportation when traveling to school by funding (1) infrastructure projects, located within two miles of a public school, that directly increase safety and convenience for public school children walking and/or biking to school, and (2) non-infrastructure projects designed to encourage public school children to walk and bicycle to school;

WHEREAS, Safe Routes to School projects are a proven, effective approach to increasing the number of children actively traveling to school by foot or bike;

WHEREAS, Safe Routes to School projects provide important health, safety, and environmental benefits for children, including reducing risk of obesity/chronic disease and pedestrian/bicycle injuries as well as improving air quality;

WHEREAS, the need for Safe Routes to School projects is especially strong in low-income areas, which suffer from a disproportionately high incidence of both childhood obesity/chronic disease and pedestrian and bicycle injuries and often have inferior pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure;

WHEREAS, Safe Routes to School projects make it safer and more convenient for all residents to walk and bike to destinations, further promoting public health;

WHEREAS, a goal of the City of St. Johns and the St. Johns Public School District to partner in creating safe, efficient, and robust infrastructure that encourages non-motorized

transportation options to schools, which can be met in part by implementation of Safe Routes to School projects;

WHEREAS, the Surface Transportation Block Grant Set-Aside ("STP Grant Set-Aside"), 23 U.S.C. § 23 U.S.C. § 133(h), set forth in Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act ("FAST Act"), PL 114-94, December 4, 2015, 129 Stat 1312, provides federal funding for bicycle and pedestrian projects, including Safe Routes to Schools, to states and MPOs serving populations of 200,000 or more;

WHEREAS, the Tri-Country Regional Planning Commission (TCRPC) has authority under the FAST Act and other governing documents to determine how and where such funds will be spent.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City of St. Johns and the St. Johns Public School District affirms its commitment to active transportation and supporting Safe Routes to School infrastructure and non-infrastructure projects with federal funds received by TCRPC through the STP Grant Set-Aside;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all federally appropriated STP Grant Set-Aside funds received pursuant to each FAST Act funding cycle must be used 100 percent for STP Grant Set-Aside purposes and shall not be transferred to any other program, fund, or account, notwithstanding any provision permitting the transferability of such funds under the FAST Act, including 23 U.S.C. § 123(h)(6)(B).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that no less than 95 percent of federally appropriated STP Grant Set-Aside funds received pursuant to each FAST Act funding cycle shall be awarded;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that no less than 15 percent of all such STP Grant Set-Aside funds received pursuant to each FAST Act funding cycle shall be awarded to Safe Routes to School infrastructure and non-infrastructure projects;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the TCRPC, the City of St. Johns and the St. Johns Public School District shall take steps to partner in order to encourage low-income areas equitably participate in funds awarded to Safe Routes to School infrastructure and non-infrastructure projects, including establishing plans and goals for such equitable participation, providing pre-application assistance, prioritization of applications from low-income areas, and developing matching funds for such communities.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this day of	_ January, 2025.
ST. JOHNS BOARD OF EDUCATION	
Robert Watson, Secretary St. Johns Board of Education	
Attest:	
Dr. Anthony Berthiaume, Ph.D., Superintendent St. Johns Public Schools	
ST. JOHNS CITY COMMISSION	
Scott Dzurka, Mayor City of St. Johns	
Attest:	
Mindy Seavey, City Clerk City of St. Johns	